A/8, II, 9, 48

Chief, I & S Staff

24 November 1950

Bluebird Team — D

Medical Summary [redacted] File No.: B#3 - 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6

The medication administered was Sodium Amytal intravenously, 5% solution given at the rate of 1 cc a minute. The stimulants were Benzedrine intravenously and Picrotoxin intravenously.

The total amount of Sodium Amytal administered was 16 cc or 0.8 gm. The amount of the Benzedrine solution totaled 5 cc or 20 mg was given and 1.5 cc of Picrotoxin.

The medication was begun at 1048. At 1106, after 11 cc had been administered, the Subject complained of blurred vision. At 1112, following the administration of 12 cc (total), his eyes seemed heavy. At 1121, after the total administration of 16 cc, he was found to be yawning. At 1129, he was asleep but could be fairly easily aroused. At 1212, the subconscious isolation was accomplished. At 1215, he was regressed and exhibited the emotional reactions appropriate to the occasion. At 1247, the stimulant was begun, that is, Benzedrine intravenously. At 1252, a total of 5 cc had been administered and although the Subject could be aroused, he still seemed quite sleepy. At 1221, Picrotoxin was given, a total of 1.5 cc. At 1226, the Subject was awake. However, in the afternoon after having had his lunch, he was visited in his room at 1445 and was found to be sleeping soundly.

The Subject had amnesia for the transference. He had no knowledge of any purpose for the medication other than that of helping him to relax in order to make a better showing on the D. D. machine.

SIGNED

[redacted] — A

[redacted] — A

B/3 Case #2 [redacted] was handled by the Artichoke team in [redacted] F in June 1952 using a combined Artichoke technique of drug-hypnosis and a straight drug approach. Hence, it was agreed by members of the Artichoke team in consultation with the case officers that it would be unnecessary to apply Artichoke methods in this case again, but use the case for the [redacted] experimentation. It was felt by all hands that [redacted] B/6 was an ideal test case, since he had been treated by the Artichoke technique previously and results could be cross-checked. It should also be noted that the case officer who worked with the [redacted] case in A June [redacted] was brought fr[redacted] to [redacted] for the sole purpose of working on this case. [redacted] at this time, was engaged F A in a very complex operation out o[redacted] and, hence, his time was very limited.

Physical details and background history of Case #2 was set out in great detail in the June 1952 report by the Artichoke Team and is not being repeated herein.

On 28 August 1952, 10:15 AM, the subject was brought to the Safe A House by [redacted] and guards and taken immediately to his room and procedures set out in June were followed as before.

[redacted]

[redacted]

At 1:35 PM, a conference was called and [redacted] requested that only the following were to attend: [redacted] (interpretor), [redacted] the Case Officers [redacted] excluded Messrs. [redacted] apparently for personal reasons. Neither the writer nor [redacted] made objections to this on the basis that we would be represented by [redacted] and it was the Artichoke Team's view that no problems should be placed in the way of [redacted] This conference ended at 2:30 PM.

At 2:35 PM, the subject was taken to the operations room with [redacted] attending and at 2:40 PM, beer was carried into the room by [redacted] The subject's beer at this time had been drugged with a very heavy dosage of the three substances being used. It appears that on the basis of the known facts in this case and the subject's high intelligence and robust physique that the heavy dosage had been agreed upon by [redacted] At 4:53 PM, the subject had completed drinking his bottle of drugged beer and at 6:06 PM, the first session ended and the subject was taken to his bedroom. At 6:30 PM, dinner was served the subject in his room and at this time he was given another bottle of beer which had been drugged. At 7:20 PM, the subject had finished his meal. He had consumed all of his beer but had not eaten much of his food.

At 7:30 [redacted] called another conference with [redacted] and again excluded [redacted] This conference ended at 8:03 PM and at 8:25 PM, the second session began with the subject with [redacted] in the operations room.

At approximately 8:30 PM, beer was prepared for all individuals in the operations room and the subject was given a heavily drugged bottle of beer. At 10:10 PM, the subject had finished his bottle of beer, and all hands left the operations room for a short time. Between 10:17 and 10:55 [redacted] called another conference with [redacted] in attendance. At 10:55 PM, the conference ended and [redacted] returned to the operations room and rejoined the subject. At 12:05 PM, [redacted] carried in straight beer and shrimp and remained in the room, apparently on instructions from [redacted] At 12:17 PM, this session ended by agreement and this case was concluded on [redacted] decision. Shortly thereafter, the subject returned to his bedroom and, in view of the necessity for [redacted] leaving at once for [redacted] this case was considered terminated.

[redacted]

[redacted]

CASE #3

NOTE: On Friday, 29 August 1952, the Artichoke Team removed the technical equipment from the safe house near [redacted] and transferred it to the [redacted] at [redacted] and [redacted] made the necessary technical installations at this point which were used in Cases 3, 4, and 5. In this connection, the rooms in which the interrogation was carried on at [redacted] were suitable only for monitoring purposes and a special mirror was not placed in these rooms. Hence, whereas much of the information in the first two cases was by direct eye-witness and monitoring, the following cases were monitored only. After the handling of the first two cases, which were hard-core agent types, the Staff Officers [redacted] had made arrangements for the supplying of [redacted] types for processing by [redacted] at his request. Cases 3, 4, and 5 were all individuals of this type and each individual had been given the "deluxe" treatment -- they had been placed in comfortable houses, had not been confined in any way, had been given spending money and a great amount of freedom. They had not been harassed in any manner and no threats had been made, even though all of them had given stories which were not wholly factual and which were in parts evasive and, at times, palpably false.

On Saturday, 30 August 1952, after a brief conference at 9:05 AM with all hands present, Case #3, the first [redacted] was brought to the operations room at [redacted] and the first session started at 9:35 AM with [redacted] in the operations room. This first [redacted] interrogation by [redacted] Specialists, including [redacted] clearly established that [redacted] and was not, from an intelligence point of view, a particularly valuable subject.

At 9:40 AM, coffee was prepared and a bitter tasting substance (quinnine sulphate --?--) was placed in the subject's cup. This was done on instructions from [redacted] because he explained that he wished to make the subject's coffee taste bitter. No other chemical was added at this time. [redacted] then carried the coffee into the operations room. Again at 10:20 AM another pot of coffee was made and more of the bitter substance was placed in the subject's coffee and carried into the operations room by [redacted]

[redacted]

At 10:50 AM, the subject was given a bottle of heavily drugged beer while all others in the room were served uncontaminated bottles of beer. At 11:22 AM, the subject had consumed the entire bottle of beer.

At 12:30 PM, the session ended and all hands stopped for lunch with no significant comments made at this time.

A B/6 At 1:30 PM, the second session began with this subject with [redacted] again in the operations room. At 2:50 PM, another bottle of beer was prepared and at 3:00 PM, the drugged beer was taken in and given to the subject [redacted]

At 4:02 PM, the officers monitoring the interrogation were informed that direct questions were now being put to the subject whereas previously the discussions had been friendly and informal.

A At 4:25 PM [redacted] came into the monitoring room and advised that the subject, in going to the bathroom, had stumbled and fallen apparently in an intoxicated manner. At 5:25 PM, this session ended and A [redacted] escorted the subject from the area.

At 5:37 PM, a general conference was held by all hands including A [redacted] in the monitoring room. The writer at this time noted some enthusiasm on the part of [redacted] and [redacted] for the technique but under direct questioning by the writer, certain obvious weaknesses appeared. It was the writer's opinion that [redacted] had been perhaps "psychologically conditioned" by previously talking to [redacted] and their opinions were not coldly impartial. This was later confirmed by talking with [redacted] and [redacted] and by their comments made later to the Artichoke Team and also to B/6 [redacted] and [redacted] At 6:20 PM, this conference ended and this case was concluded.

NOTE: The writer feels that it is advisable at this time to point out that during the course of the day's sessions, this subject drank only three bottles of beer yet, according to eye-witness reports, he appeared intoxicated, stumbled and fell going to the bathroom. According to A [redacted] the subject was able to drink many times this amount and the writer believes the use of drugs could not be called surrepticious since any intelligent person would wonder why after only three bottles of beer he had become intoxicated and fallen.

The writer also feels that he should point out that this subject altered or changed several of his previously given statements during the day's sessions.

[redacted]

[redacted]

The writer asked both [redacted] and [redacted] whether there was any more reason to believe his statements now than previously and [redacted] and [redacted] at this time admitted that they could not be certain as to which statement was nearer the truth. No other significant comments were made at this time. A

CASE #4

On 30 August 1952 (Sunday), the Experimental Team interrogated Case #4. This subject [redacted] As stated before, he had previously made false and evasive statements to [redacted] interrogators and his story to date had contained numerous discrepancies. B/3

At 9:40 AM, a general conference was held with all hands present. At 10:17 AM, the conference ended and at 10:24 [redacted] escorted the subject into the operations room and shortly thereafter he was joined by [redacted] had entered the operations room previously. A B/6

At 10:40 AM, coffee was prepared with drugs and at 10:50 AM (approximately) this coffee was carried into the operations room with the drugged coffee being given to the subject by [redacted] At 11:07 AM, more coffee was requested and this was sent in. This coffee was not drugged.

At 11:21 AM, the subject was given drugged beer by [redacted] who had left the room and returned carrying the beer. A

The morning session ended at 1:21 PM and the entire group adjourned for lunch.

At 2:32 PM, the second session began with this subject with [redacted] and [redacted] attending. At 2:50 PM drugged coffee was prepared and given to the subject. This coffee was finished by the subject at 3:20 PM. B/6 A

The interrogation continued until 4:50 PM when non-contaminated beer was taken into the operations room. At 5:30 PM, the session ended and the subject was escorted out by [redacted] A

[redacted]

A B/6 A

Immediately thereafter, a general conference was held in the operations room wi[redacted] and [redacted] attending. The writer, who was not asked to join in this discussion, monitored it and noted a disagreement between [redacted] and [redacted] as to results obtained although both indicated they thought the previous day's session was more successful. In general, the results were totally negative. This conference was concluded at 6:00 PM.

CASE #5

B/6 B/3

On 3 September 1952, Wendesday, Case #5 was interrogated by the [redacted] technique. This case was [redacted] This subject was [redacted] and had never been questioned in detail but had only been engaged in general conversations. Hence, he appeared to be ideal material for the [redacted] technique.

A B/6

At 9:18 AM, [redacted] and [redacted] brought the subject into the operations room and were joined immediately thereafter by [redacted]

At 9:37 AM, coffee was prepared and heavily drugged for the subject and given to him. By 10:05 AM, the subject had finished this coffee and at 10:21 AM, drugged beer was given to the subject. Again at 11:21 AM, another bottle of beer was heavily drugged and given to the subject. At 11:45 AM, all beer was finished and at 12:41 PM, the morning session ended and all hands adjourned for lunch.

A B/6

The afternoon session began at 1:45 PM wit[redacted] and [redacted] in the operations room. A few minutes later, a bottle of heavily drugged beer was given to the subject which he finished at approximately 2:50 PM. At 4:05 PM, the session ended and the subject left the operations room. Between 4:05 PM and 4:22 PM, a conference was held wit[redacted] a[redacted] attending and with no significant comments noted.